
**The Journal of Nematology – Guidelines for Authors**

1. **(Updated January 2023)**
2. The *Journal of Nematology* (ISSN 0022-300X; JON) is the official publication of the Society of
3. Nematologists (SON) and publishes original papers on all aspects of basic, applied, descriptive,
4. theoretical or experimental nematology. Other categories of papers include reviews, abstracts of
5. papers presented at annual meetings, research notes, first reports, genome announcements, and
6. special publications as appropriate. Authors are encouraged to consult the Editor-in-Chief (EiC)
7. about submissions of special publications. For genome announcements, see special instructions
8. found at the end of this document.

10

11 

12 Copyright MMVI The Society of Nematologists, 2006, 2008, and 2013

# How do I submit a manuscript?

1. By submitting a manuscript to JON, the authors attest that the manuscript has not been submitted
2. elsewhere and (if accepted) will not be published in whole or in part elsewhere. They also attest that all work contained is original and the manuscript has been approved by all authors and implicitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out.
3. Research results submitted for publication should be reproducible. It is expected that critical
4. experiments have been repeated in time or space and statistically validated, as appropriate.
5. Routine surveys of nematode distribution or of germplasm collections for susceptibility to parasitic nematodes are not acceptable for publication, unless they explicitly test or generate hypotheses. However, JON has established the abbreviated format ‘First Reports’, for studies reporting a new record for a species with a given host. Note that for consideration, such reports must also provide molecular marker and new insight into the biology, reproduction or pathogenicity of the nematode.
6. Furthermore, routine surveys of nematode genotyping using a specific region in the genome is not testing or generating a specific hypothesis.
7. Authors must suggest two potential reviewers as part of the submission process that have no conflict of interest. Note that it is finally at the discretion of the handling editor to select reviewers.
8. Final submissions should be MSWORD format for the manuscript and JPEG format for the
9. figures.

Manuscripts must be submitted to the JON via the Sciendo platform powered by Editorial

1. Manager software at https://sciendo.com/journal/JOFNEM.

# Journal of Nematology Editorial Board

1. The JON is administered by an editorial board composed of an Editor-in-Chief (EiC) and eleven
2. or more Editors. The EiC is appointed by the SON Executive Board and serves 1 year as Senior
3. Editor and 3 years as EiC. The EiC nominates Editors to serve 3-year terms on the Editorial
4. Board. As chair of the Editorial Board, the EiC is responsible for editorial policy and
5. coordination of all SON publications. The EiC serves as a member of the SON Executive
6. Committee, reports to the Executive Board, prepares an annual report for the Society describing
7. the Journal's status, makes recommendations for changes, and publishes minutes of the annual
8. Editorial Board meeting. Editors are responsible for reviewing and accepting manuscripts
9. submitted for publication and for recommending changes in editorial style and policies of the
10. Journal. The primary responsibility of the Editorial Board is to ensure the overall quality and intellectual content of JON. The Editorial Board strives to ensure that articles are clearly written,
11. unambiguous, and contain sound science of high quality.

# Article Processing Charges (APC)

Since January 1st 2023, new page charges have been implemented for publication in the JON. Only accepted articles will be subject to the APC, which will be due prior to final publication of the article. APCs will be $400 USD for Society of Nematologists members, and $600 USD for non-members for full research articles. For short research articles, the APC will be $200 USD for members and non-members. All APCs are tied to the corresponding author of the manuscript. Note, Society membership is $76.00 for regular members and $38.00 for students. According to the Society of Nematologists Executive Board decision, waivers from APC can only be granted if all authors of a manuscript are from developing countries.

**Eprints**

1. Authors will receive an "eprint," which is an electronic copy of the manuscript as a PDF file.

# What is the format for JON?

1. All manuscripts shall be in English with U.S. spelling conventions. There are no page
2. limitations.
3. A typical paper is composed of: a title page; abstract and keywords page; an introduction;
4. materials and methods; results; discussion; literature cited; and supporting tables and figures. For
5. short papers, results and discussion may be combined. Abstracts of papers presented at the
6. Annual Meeting of SON are published in the JON. Only those abstracts submitted through the
7. meeting web site will be accepted. Authors must follow the style guidelines and time deadlines
8. established by the meeting organizers. Other formats are permissible for invited articles. Authors
9. should discuss this with the EiC.
10. **Research Notes**: Should be in the same format as full length papers, but shorter in scope and
11. contain a maximum of 4500 words and two illustrations (tables/figures).
12. **Page Layout:**
13. All submissions should be formatted on US letter or A4 paper, with 1-inch (2.5 cm) margins.
14. Page numbers should be placed in the upper right-hand corner of each page, along with the first
15. author's surname and JON. Double space all manuscript contents, except the contact
16. (corresponding) author's name, address, phone number, fax number, and email address; these
17. should appear on the upper left-hand corner of the title page (single spaced). Pages should be line
18. numbered throughout. Continuous line numbering is a great convenience for editors and
19. reviewers.
20. Choose a font that is easily read; the font size should be 12 pt. Do not use hyphenation for
21. spacing or layout purposes. Do not use boldface type; if needed, boldface type will be marked by
22. the technical editor. Use italic font for Linnaean binomials or trinomials. Use italic font for
23. mathematical variables (*x, y,* etc.), but not constants (a, b, etc.). Although footnotes are used in
24. tables, they should not be used in the text sections.
25. In a typical manuscript, the primary (first level) headings are MATERIALS AND METHODS,
26. RESULTS, DISCUSSION and LITERATURE CITED. Note that the introduction does not have
27. a heading. The primary headings should be centered and be in all capitals (as indicated).
28. Headings at the next level consist of indented, italicized (or underlined) lowercase words,
29. forming a short phrase or sentence that terminates with a colon (also in italics). Avoid using long

subheadings; the best ones are less than one line when printed in JON. Words normally italicized, such as species binomials, do not receive any special formatting (such as an extra underline).

1. Technical Style:
2. In preparing a manuscript for JON, authors should endeavor to express their ideas in clearly
3. written English. Style conventions established by JON for [Terminology,](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/terminology.html) [Abbreviations,](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/abbrev_new.html) and
4. [Units of Measure](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/units_of_measure.html) should be followed.
5. Papers that consist of taxonomic descriptions such as new species descriptions must follow
6. specific formatting (see below under **Instructions for Taxonomic Descriptions**). For all other
7. papers, including the taxonomic authority after first mention of a species name is optional and
8. left at the discretion of the handling editor. Stating the taxonomic authority along with the year
9. of description should only be included if the taxonomic description is cited in the references.

# Title Page

1. Place corresponding (contact) authors name, mailing address, phone and fax numbers, and e-mail
2. address in upper left corner, single spaced. Use double space for everything else in the
3. manuscript.
4. The next line is the title of the manuscript (in Title Case, centered, with no period at the end).
5. The title should use strong key words and be brief, preferably not more than 100 characters,
6. including spaces. Use scientific names for nematode species and do not include common names.
7. For other organisms, use either scientific or common names. When scientific names are used in
8. the title do not include the authorities. Do not append footnotes to the title.
9. The next line lists the authors, centered. Authors may spell out first names or use initials only.
10. Author affiliations are indicated by numbered footnotes and should be numbered in order of
11. appearance in the list of authors. If all authors have the same affiliation, it is not necessary to use
12. footnotes.
13. Insert a line and place the text: Received for publication.
14. The next line lists footnote(s) with author institutional affiliations(s) and current address (if not
15. the same). The titles of authors are optional (e.g., Graduate Assistant, Professor). Each address
16. should begin on a new line, indented. Non-US addresses should indicate country.
17. The next line, indented, lists acknowledgments (if any), including support for the project,
18. disclaimers and thanks to individuals.
19. The next line, indented, lists the email address of the corresponding author.
20. On the next line, indented, insert the following phrase: This paper was edited by
21. The next line, indented, contains a condensed running head. After the words Running Head,
22. followed by a colon, provide a condensed title, also followed by a colon. Then give the last name
23. of the first author in italics; both last names for two authors; et al. for more than two. The title
24. and author names are limited to no more than 60 spaces.

# Abstract Page and Key Words

1. **Abstract:**
2. The abstract page includes the abstract and the list of key words and begins with the indented
3. subheading *Abstract:* Note that the colon is also italicized, like all colons in all JON
4. subheadings. The abstract serves as the summary, written in past tense, one paragraph of no more
5. than 1,300 spaces, and must be on a separate page, along with the key words. It should be self-
6. explanatory and intelligible in itself and include the rationale for the study, objectives and topics
7. covered, a brief description of methods, results, and conclusions. Do not include authorities for
8. Linnaean binomials or trinomials, footnotes, statistical probability levels, or literature references.

# Key words:

1. The list of key words begins with the indented subheading *Key words:* and includes those key
2. words appearing in the title. Include words or short phrases that would be useful in index
3. retrieval systems. Choose key words thoughtfully, as they are the sole basis of the JON annual
4. subject index. List key words alphabetically. Most key words should be in the singular form.
5. Include both the binomial and common name of nematodes and hosts, common names of
6. pesticides, experimental techniques (e.g., electron microscopy, modeling, electrophoresis),
7. specific subjects (e.g., soil temperature, suppression), and general subject areas.
8. One or more of these terms should be included in the key words list:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| behavior | interaction |
| biochemistry | management |
| biological control | method |
| cell biology | molecular biology |
| cytology | morphology |
| detection | physiology |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| diagnosis | plant disease loss |
| ecology | regulatory |
| entomopathogenic nematode | resistance |
| etiology | systematics |
| genetics | taxonomy |
| genomics | technique |
| host-parasitic relationship | ultrastructure |

# Introduction

1. The intent of the Introduction is to place the research described in the manuscript into a broader
2. context and, with the obvious exception of a review article, is not intended to be a
3. comprehensive review of the field. Authors should expect the readership to have a general
4. understanding of nematology and nematological terms, but should explain specialist terms or
5. concepts. Authors should cite prior research from their own and other scientists (see Literature
6. Cited) to support their main contentions. Avoid statements and words such as “important,”
7. “interesting,” and “novel” that make value judgments on the work. Brevity is encouraged. The
8. Introduction should end with a statement of the overall and specific objectives of the research,
9. and should not recapitulate the results.
10. The Introduction begins on a separate page and does not have a heading. The first line of each
11. paragraph is indented. Subheadings would rarely be used in a typical research paper, but may be
12. appropriate in a review or other type of article.

# Materials and Methods

1. The Materials and Methods section should provide sufficient detail to permit a skilled and
2. knowledgeable researcher to repeat the work. Standard techniques can simply be cited, even if
3. small modifications have been made. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite the primary
4. sources of methods, especially those published in JON. Style conventions established by JON for
5. terminology, abbreviations, and units of measure should be followed. Authors are encouraged to
6. consult the technical style glossary.
7. The Materials and Methods section begins directly after the Introduction (i.e., no page break),
8. under the heading Materials and Methods, in capitals, centered on the page. The first line of each
9. paragraph is indented and will frequently begin with a subheading.

# Results

1. The main purpose of the Results section, written in the past tense (except for taxonomic papers),
2. is to provide narrative support for the Figures and Tables in which the actual results of the
3. experiments are reported. Results not displayed in a figure or table are presented in narrative
4. style. Literature citations are rare in the Results section, and neither materials and methods nor
5. discussion should be included. The combination of Results and Discussion is permitted and is
6. encouraged for short articles.
7. Results begins directly after Materials and Methods (i.e., no page break), under the heading
8. RESULTS, in capitals, centered on the page. The first line of each paragraph is indented, and
9. will frequently begin with a subheading.

# Discussion

1. The Discussion should provide the authors interpretation of the data, in context with the state of
2. the art of the field presented in the Introduction, and also in light of the objectives listed in the
3. Introduction. Authors should arrive at some conclusions and not merely repeat what was stated
4. in the Results; the Discussion should ordinarily not refer to figures or tables, except perhaps to
5. present a model or hypothesis. The points emphasized in the Discussion should be in accord with
6. the title of the article. Authors are encouraged to be brief, to not over-interpret their findings, and
7. to make clear when views expressed are opinion or conjecture.
8. The Discussion begins directly after Results (i.e., no page break), under the heading
9. DISCUSSION, in capitals, centered on the page. The first line of each paragraph is indented and
10. would typically not include subheadings.

# Literature Cited

1. Authors have an ethical responsibility to fairly and correctly attribute previous work by citation.
2. In most cases, it is preferable to cite the primary literature instead of a review. Authors should
3. make every effort to cite only articles that are readily available and are particularly encouraged
4. to cite work published in the JON.

# References in Text

1. In the body of the manuscript, publications are cited using the name-year system, e.g., (Bird,
2. 1996). In some instances, such as at the start of a sentence, or if the intent is to particularly stress
3. the cited author’s contribution, only the date is in parentheses: e.g., “Bird (1996) showed that”
4. However, authors are encouraged to limit their use of this form of citation. To cite a publication
5. with two authors, list both: e.g., (Bird and Kaloshian, 2003); with three or more authors use et
6. al.: e.g., (Bird et al., 2003). Note the comma used after the author name(s) and the comma and
7. period after et al. When multiple citations are listed in parentheses, they are listed in
8. chronological order from oldest to most recent and separated by semicolons, for example,
9. (Zuckerman, 1980; Bird, 1996). If two or more cited papers are from the same year, list the
10. papers from that year alphabetically: e.g., (Bird, 2003; Bird and Kaloshian, 2003; Bird and
11. Opperman, 2003; Bird et al., 2003). For two or more articles by the same author(s) in the same
12. year use letters: e.g., (Houser, 1992a, 1992b). The same applies if two multiple-author citations
13. are from the same year: e.g., (Bird et al., 2003a, 2003b). Note that in these cases, the years are
14. separated by a comma rather than a semicolon. Some manuscripts are published by consortia and
15. do not list individual authors. In those cases, the name of the consortium should be considered to
16. be the author’s name, e.g., (*C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium, 1998). On the rare occasions
17. where the author of a legitimate published work is truly undisclosed, use “Anonymous” as the
18. author’s name.
19. Thus, a complex example that incorporates the aforementioned rules might be: (Zuckerman,
20. 1980; Houser, 1992a, 1992b; Bird, 1996, *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium, 1998; Bird, 2003;
21. Bird and Kaloshian, 2003; Bird and Opperman, 2003; Bird et al., 2003a, 2003b).
22. Manuscripts that are in preparation or have been submitted for publication but not yet accepted
23. should not be cited as references, either in the text or in Literature Cited. If authors wish to
24. mention such information, it can be listed parenthetically in the text as unpublished data
25. (abbreviated as “unpubl. data”). Making reference to unpublished material from sources other
26. than the authors requires documented permission from the source, which must accompany the
27. manuscript; an e-mail from the source of the information would normally suffice. Such personal
28. communications should be listed parenthetically in the text with the initials, last name and
29. affiliation of the communicator, e.g., (D. M. Bird, NCSU, pers. comm.).

# Reference List

1. The major subheading LITERATURE CITED is capitalized and centered, and begins on a
2. separate page. List references alphabetically by authors’ surnames. Alphabetical order is
3. determined by the first author’s surname (family name) and then, if necessary, by surnames of
4. succeeding coauthors. When the author names are completely identical in two or more
5. references, these references are sequenced by publication date (earliest to latest) and, if
6. necessary, by the page numbers of articles published in the same journal. In rare instances where
7. different authors share the same surname, it may be necessary to also consider the alphabetical
8. order of the author initials. When citing multiple articles by the same author, list articles with one
9. author before those with several authors. When author(s) are the same for articles published in
10. the same year, use letters: e.g., 1987a, 1987b.
11. Each reference cited in the text (including figure legends, etc.) must be in the Literature Cited;
12. the converse also must apply. Each citation should be checked against the original publication.
13. Authors must pay precise attention to spelling, spacing, capitalization, indentation, and format in
14. their literature citations. The first line of each citation is indented.
15. Citing a journal article
16. For example:
17. Lohar, D. P., Schaff, J. E., Laskey, J. G., Kieber, J. J., Bilyeu, K. D., and Bird, D. McK.
18. 2004. Cytokinins play opposite roles in lateral root formation, and nematode and rhizobial
19. symbioses. The Plant Journal 38:203-214.
20. In this example, note the following points:
21. 1) All authors are listed (never use et al.) in the order in which they appeared in the original
22. publication, with the surname, followed by all initials. Note the comma after the surname,
23. periods and comma after initials, and space between initials.
24. 2) The year given is the year of publication listed by the journal. In some cases this may differ
25. from the year in which the article was actually published. In case of an article in press, give the
26. best estimate of the year that the journal will list publication. Authors should be prepared to
27. supply the JON editorial board with documented proof that a manuscript claimed as “in press”
28. truly has been accepted.
29. 3) The title should be given in sentence case. If the title contains a colon, the word after the colon
30. should begin with a capital letter, even if not done so in the original reference. Do not use a
31. capital letter after a dash.
32. 4) Journal names must be spelled out in full. There is no period after the name of the journal.
33. 5) The volume number is followed by a colon, and then the inclusive page numbers. Note the
34. lack of spacing. Generally the issue number within a volume is not given, but in rare cases in
35. which all issues of a journal begin with page 1, it may be required: e.g., Journal Name 34(4):78-
36. 93. For “in press” articles, include as much information as is known (such as the volume
37. number). Page numbers can be added at the proof stage as they become known.
38. Citing a book
39. For example:
40. Thorne, G. 1961. Principals of nematology. New York: McGraw Hill.
41. In this example, note the following points:
42. 1) The format for author (or editor) name(s), date, and title are the same for journal articles
43. (above).
44. 2) List the place of publication, then the publisher. Do not use “Inc.” or “Ltd.” for a publisher,
45. and for publishers with multiple locations, list only one city.
46. 3) The number of total pages is not listed.
47. Citing a book chapter.
48. For example:
    1. Herman, R. K., and Horvitz, H. R. 1980. Genetic analysis of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Pp.
    2. 227-261 *in* B. M. Zuckerman, ed. Nematodes as biological models, vol. 2. New York: Academic
    3. Press.
    4. In this example, note the following points:
    5. 1) The page range of the chapter is included, after the term “Pp.” and is followed by the word
    6. “*in*” in italics.
    7. 2) The initials of the editor(s) come before the surname(s); note the spaces between initials.
    8. 3) Information about the volume (abbreviated “vol.”) or edition (“ed.”) number comes after the
    9. name of the book.
    10. Citing electronic sources.
    11. In most instances, journals that are published only as electronic journals will have a bona fide
    12. citation and can be cited like a normal publication. Articles that do not have a true citation
    13. probably should not be cited. The Editor handling the manuscript (or EiC) can make format
    14. recommendations for cases that don’t readily fit standardized JON rules. The following citation
    15. is to an electronic-only journal:
    16. Scholl, E. H., Thorne, J. L., McCarter, J. P., and Bird, D. McK. 2003. Horizontally
    17. transferred genes in plant-parasitic nematodes: A high-throughput genomic approach. Genome

18 Biology 4:R39.1-R39.12.

1. Similarly, many electronic resources, such as an on-line database, have been published in
2. journals, and thus can be cited in the standard manner. For example, the widely used BLAST
3. tools can be cited as:
4. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local
5. alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215:403-410.
6. Another example of an on-line publication is WormBook, which is edited by a community rather
7. than a single person and has no city for the publisher. A Wormbook citation may be formatted
8. as:
9. Eisenmann, D. M. 2005. Wnt signaling. (June 25, 2005). The *C. elegans* Research Community,
10. ed. WormBook. doi/10.1895/wor[mbook.1.7.1, http://www.wormbook.org.](http://www.wormbook.org/)
11. Information about the web sites actually queried (including the URL and date), or versions of
12. computer programs or databases used, should rightfully be included in the Materials and
13. Methods section.
14. Citing other sources.
15. Authors should cite only those articles that are readily available, and should minimize the
16. citation of theses, bulletins, company brochures and the like. Here are some examples of
17. formatting for those types of articles:
18. Anonymous. 1945. Article title. Washington, DC: EPA Publications.
19. Jones, B. T. 1980. Article title. *In* P. L. Bond, ed. Title of larger work. Serial Publication

6 23:23-34.

1. Jones, B. T. 1987. Dissertation title. M.S./Ph.D. dissertation (or thesis), University Name,
2. Place.
3. McDowell, R. I., Jr., Dewey III, F. R., and Resh, Jr., H.-T. 1985. Title. Publication and no.,
4. Name of Experiment Station, University (if needed), Place.
5. Teller, A. P., and Green, B. S. Title. Journal Name 28:561 (Abstr.).

# Tables

1. Like graphs, tables report data from multiple measurements and are most appropriate when the
2. authors wish to show actual numerical values, to report data with no trends, or to present data
3. with certain statistical comparisons. In most instances, short tables (i.e., those with a small
4. number of cells) are to be avoided; those data are better reported as narrative in the Results. Each
5. table should be self-explanatory without reference to the text.
6. Tables are numbered consecutively with an Arabic numeral based on the order that they are first
7. mentioned in the text. The word Table is always spelled out in full. The rules for mentioning
8. Tables in the text are the same as those for mentioning figures. For example: Table 1; (Tables
9. 1,2), (Tables 1-4). However, unlike figures, tables should not be grouped together to make
10. composites. Tables would typically not be mentioned in the Discussion.
11. The actual tables are presented immediately after the Literature Cited section, beginning on a
12. new page, under the heading TABLES (in capital letters and centered on the page). Each table is
13. on a separate page, but not a separate file (i.e., the tables are part of the text file for the
14. manuscript).
15. Each table begins with a descriptive title. This is written in paragraph style, with the first line
16. indented, and may be one or more sentences. Avoid inclusion of material in the table title or in
17. column captions that would be more appropriate in footnotes. Linnaean binomials should be
18. spelled out in full at first mention in the table title, or spelled out in a footnote if it must be
19. abbreviated in the actual table.
20. A printed Journal page is 174 mm x 240 mm, arranged as two 85 mm wide columns. Although
21. tables should be designed with these dimensions in mind, the actual tables will be type set by the
22. Journals printer so it is not necessary to scale them exactly. What is important, however, is that
23. the numbers and symbols in the columns be clearly and unambiguously assigned to that column.
24. For this reason, authors are strongly encouraged to use the table-making feature of your word
25. processor, rather than inserting spaces or tabs. Do not insert any vertical lines.
26. It is important to distinguish the major parts of the table. Insert a single line to separate: 1) the
27. table from the legend; 2) the table subheadings from each other; 3) subheadings from the body of
28. the table; 4) and the body of the table from the footnotes.
29. Footnotes are used when their information will not fit into the logical structure of the table and
30. the essential information is not readily available in the accompanying text. Superscript lowercase
31. letters, e.g., a,b,c, are preferred signs directing readers to the footnotes of a table. The assignment
32. of footnote letters to column headings is hierarchical from upper left to lower right. The first line
33. of each footnote is indented, and each footnote ends with a period. The symbols \*, \*\*, and \*\*\*
34. are reserved for statistical probability levels (do not use them as footnote symbols).
35. Authors should be thoughtful in the use of numerical values and units and should follow the
36. Units of Measure and the Technical Style Glossary guides. Use exponents as appropriate, and
37. round numbers to sensible values rather than expressing non-significant digits.

# Figures

1. Good figures (graphs, line drawings, photographs, etc.) often are essential to create informative
2. manuscripts, and properly designed figures are typically more useful to the reader than any other
3. part of a paper. Poorly constructed figures, or those that convey little information, detract from
4. the articles effectiveness. Authors are required to use good ethical judgment in the preparation of
5. figures, particularly digital figures. Images must not be cropped or otherwise manipulated to
6. obscure, move, or introduce any element germane to interpretation of the data. Although certain
7. manipulations are acceptable, such as adjusting the brightness or color balance of an entire
8. image, nothing should be done to any part of an illustration that does not affect all other parts
9. equally. Exceptions to this policy (such as introducing false color to highlight a feature) are
10. permitted only if the full consequences of that manipulation are explicitly described.
11. Authors concerned about detail being lost within a specific part of a figure should alert the
12. editors and printer to this fact.
13. Figures are numbered consecutively with an Arabic numeral based on the order that they are first
14. mentioned in the text. The word Figure is spelled out in full at the start of a sentence or when
15. used outside parentheses in any sentence. Use the abbreviation Fig. or Figs. followed by the
16. number inside parentheses in the body of the text. For example: (Figs. 1,2), (Figs. 1-4). Where
17. several subfigures are grouped together to make a composite figure, the subfigures are labeled
18. with the figure number followed by a capital Roman letter (e.g., Fig. 1A). Each of the subfigures
19. should be mentioned in the text before the next numbered figure is introduced. For example, it
20. would be incorrect to mention Figure 3 before mentioning Figure 2D. There is no spacing
21. between the letters of subfigures. For example: (Fig. 1A,B), (Fig. 1A-C), (Figs.1A,B; 2A).
22. Figure (and Table) numbers should not be mentioned in the Discussion.
23. **Figure legends:** Each figure has a correspondingly numbered figure legend. Figure legends, with
24. their figures, should stand alone, i.e., not require a reading of the manuscript to comprehend the
25. figure. These are listed consecutively beginning on a new page entitled LEGENDS FOR
26. FIGURES. The abbreviation Fig. followed by the number begins the actual legend (e.g., Fig. 1).
27. Use a paragraph style for all figure legends in the same plate; indent the first line. All
28. abbreviations and symbols within a figure should be explained within the legend. Linnaean
29. binomials should be spelled out the first mention in figure legends.
30. **Figure submission:** Figures should be prepared as digital files and submitted with the text.
31. Figures may be submitted in various formats, providing that: 1) Initial submission may be in
32. various formats that are accessible to the reviewer (MSWord) but it is important to note that final
33. figures should be in JPEG format 2) the quality of the figures submitted for review purposes
34. must be sufficiently high as to permit suitable review. Authors should also be mindful of file
35. size. Exceptionally large files (>5 Mb) may have to be compressed for review in consideration of
36. editors and reviewers ability to manage your manuscript files. File names should include the
37. manuscript number, first author name, and the figure number. The resolution of these figures
38. should be at least 900 dpi for line art; half tone images (photographs) should be at least 300 dpi.
39. **Size:** Figures should be designed with the printed Journal page dimensions of 174 mm x 240
40. mm, arranged as two 85 mm wide columns in mind. Ideally, authors should exactly scale their
41. figures to either one-column or full-page width. If the figures submitted do not conform to these
42. dimensions, authors should indicate on the figure whether full-page width or one-column width
43. is desired. Figures should not be submitted smaller than the final size desired. Because it is
44. preferred that the figure legend be printed on the same page as the figure, authors should strive to
45. make the height less than 240 mm.
46. Whenever it makes logical sense to group them, illustrations, graphs, and photographs should be
47. combined and assembled into composite figures. Each subfigure, labeled A, B, C, etc., may be
48. arranged across the page, down a column, or assembled into a [composite plate](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/figs.html#composite). Because
49. separation of subfigures by wide gutters attracts the readers eye to the empty space between the
50. subfigures instead of the illustration, it is important that subfigures should be assembled as close
51. to each other as possible without having them mistaken for a single figure.
52. **Labeling:** Lettering on illustrations should be of high contrast and at least 1 mm high at final
53. print size. The location and color (black or white) of the letters should ensure that they can easily
54. be read. The chosen font (ideally a sans-serif type such as Helvetica) and size (no smaller than 12
55. point) should be the same for all letters within a figure (except for italicized scientific names)
56. and for all figures within the same manuscript. Large letters and bold type can overwhelm the
57. data contained within a figure and are discouraged. Authors should pay attention to the effect
58. that digitally resizing subfigures might have on the lettering. Subfigures should be labeled with
59. uppercase A, B, etc., ideally in the upper left corner of the subfigure. Labels should be within the
60. logical border of the figure so as not to introduce excessive white space.
61. **Composite plates:** Halftone images, such as photographs (digital or otherwise) should be
62. combined and assembled into composite plates where possible. The size of each of the
63. subfigures may be different from each other, but each subfigure must be square or rectangular.
64. Each of the subfigures should be immediately adjacent to each other, without any white space;
65. the printer will insert a 1-mm hairline between each. The final composite plate should conform to
66. JON column- or page-wi[dth size](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/figs.html#size).
67. **Magnification:** Size bars should be added to figures to indicate magnification when they are
68. appropriate. Because figure size may change during reproduction, numerical values of
69. reproduction (e.g., x100) may change during reproduction and therefore must not be used in
70. figures or in figure legends.
71. **Graphs:** Graphs are used to present relational data characterized by a pronounced trend; tables
72. are often more appropriate to report accurate numbers, report data with no trends, or present data
73. with certain statistical comparisons. If practical, all graphs within the same manuscript should
74. have the same size, format, scaling, and type style. Ideal graphs are slightly wider than they are
75. high, but this rule should not be followed if lack of clarity results.
76. Labels in graphs should clearly state what was measured and in what units; the units should be in
77. parentheses at the end of the label. Labels should be parallel to the axis, not perpendicular or
78. askew.
79. All four sides of a graph should form a square. Do not use X- or Y-axis grid lines for line or bar
80. graphs. All segments of all lines (including axes and tick marks) should be distinct, and error
81. bars should be easily readable. Tick marks along the axes should be used and should describe
82. real intervals instead of being merely decorative. Identify some (but not all) of the tick marks.
83. The axes should not extend beyond the range of data points and should not be thicker than the
84. data lines, which are the focal points of the graph and are ideally twice as wide as the axis lines.
85. The finest lines in a graph should be the error bars. Excessively heavy lines and letters will
86. become exaggerated in thickness when reduced and should be avoided. All lines must be
87. uniformly black.
88. Graphs within the same figure should be labeled A, B, etc. Linnaean binomials must be italicized.
89. All letters and symbols should be distinct when the graph is printed at final size. Any symbol used
90. within a graph to mark a data point should be one that is commonly typeset. Solid and hollow
91. circles, triangles, and squares are best. In addition, use different styles of lines as well as different
92. symbols for identifying different groupings of data. If space permits, lines may be defined within
93. the graph instead of the figure legend, but the graph should maintain an uncluttered appearance.
94. Shading in bar graphs is desirable and can be best achieved by setting different levels of gray
95. scale. If other patterns are needed, they should consist of solid black or line patterns rather than
96. stippled dots. Three-dimensional graphs are acceptable only if the Z-axis contains information.

# How will my manuscript be processed?

1. All manuscripts are received by the EiC who assigns each to one of the Editors. The assigned
2. Editor is charged with overseeing the review process, communicating to the author(s) the
3. Reviewer´s comments, deciding what revisions (if any) must be made to the manuscript, and
4. deciding if the manuscript is acceptable for publication in the JON. See Procedures and
5. Instructions for Editors below for complete details.

# Review of Manuscripts

1. All manuscripts submitted to the JON are reviewed by at least two peer reviewers and an Editor.
2. As indicated above, authors must submit two potential peer reviewers to assist the Editors.
3. Suggested reviewers should not have a conflict of interest (such as being a recent or current
4. collaborator, advisor/advisee, or member of the same institution) with any of the authors. They
5. also may request that certain individuals not review a manuscript. This review process assures
6. readers of the highest professional quality of articles published and ensures that all articles
7. satisfy the following questions:
8. 1. Is the information new and significant? Is the work original?
9. 2. Were the experiments well planned? Were adequate experimental techniques used?
10. 3. Were the experiments repeated in time or space and is there demonstration of
11. reproducibility?
12. 4. Is the discussion relevant, and are the conclusions justified by the data?
13. 5. Is the writing clear, concise, and appropriately organized? Are there sections that should
14. be expanded, condensed, or deleted?
15. 6. Are all the tables and figures of high quality and properly labeled? Do they materially aid
16. the text? They should not be too complex, repetitive of the text, or contain extraneous
17. material.
18. 7. Is the form and style of the manuscript proper for the Journal? In particular, are the
19. literature citations presented correctly and all cited in the text?
20. Emphasis of reviewers should be on assessing the scientific merit of the work. You should
21. document and substantiate substantive criticisms. Keep in mind that a manuscript is a privileged
22. document. Protect it from exploitation, and endeavor to retain your anonymity. In particular, do
23. not discuss the manuscript with colleagues or the author(s), as your opinions may differ from
24. those of the other reviewer(s) and the Editor, and the author(s) may be misled by your
25. discussions.
26. Reviewers are given 2 to 3 weeks to review manuscripts before electronically submitting their
27. reviews and recommendations. The assigned Editor may also suggest revisions to the manuscript
28. to the author. Authors have 2 months to make revisions and return manuscripts to the assigned
29. Editor. A manuscript returned after 2 months may be considered as a new submission.
30. Revised manuscripts are accepted for publication by the assigned Editor who notifies the EiC
31. that revisions are complete, and the manuscript is ready for publication. The EiC then
32. coordinates preparation of page proofs with authors, the technical editor, and the printer.

# Page Proofs

1. Proofs (including figure proofs) are sent to the corresponding author along with the Open Access
2. Agreement (.pdf). It is the responsibility of authors to carefully read the proofs and to return
3. them promptly to the Technical Editor (within 48 hours of receipt). Authors should answer all
4. questions from the printer and technical editor, which will be found on the proof cover sheet or
5. marked in the margins of the proofs.

# General Editing Procedures

1. **Receipt and Transmission to Editors:** The Editor-in-Chief (EiC) receives all manuscripts, and
2. chooses an Editor based on the general topic. The chosen Editor will select two external
3. reviewers. If extensive corrections are required (e.g., to bring the manuscript into JON style),
4. manuscripts may be returned to the author for revision prior to being sent out for review.
5. **Receipt by Editors:** Upon receipt of a manuscript from the EiC, the Editor should read the
6. manuscript sufficiently thoroughly to select two suitable reviewers. In most instances, this read is
7. expected to be fairly quick, as at this point Editors are not attempting to judge the work presented
8. in the manuscript. If an Editor feels that a manuscript is far outside their area of expertise, they
9. should contact the EiC. Initial delays in processing manuscripts come from difficulties in
10. identifying willing reviewers. The identity of reviewers must remain confidential unless the
11. reviewer chooses to reveal their identity to the authors. Editors should ensure that any files
12. uploaded by reviewers do not contain any personal identification unless the reviewer chooses to
13. reveal their identity.
14. If after three weeks the Editor has not received a review, prompt the reviewer to submit their
15. reviews. If the reviewer does not respond to this prompt, then the manuscript should be
16. immediately forwarded to a new reviewer chosen by the Editor. If a reviewer has taken an
17. inordinately long time (more than six weeks), then the Editor can serve as the second reviewer,
18. but this procedure should be kept to a minimum. A complete list of reviewers and a log of the
19. performance and timeliness of the selected reviewers is maintained in the PeerTrack system.
20. Editors should not overburden particular reviewers, especially those who are exceptionally
21. efficient.

# Confidentiality

1. Although the identity of the Editor is known to the authors, confidentiality of peer reviewers is
2. an established tradition in the JON review process and under no circumstances are the names of
3. reviewers to be given to authors or other reviewers. Do not inadvertently reveal identities in e-
4. mail address or ‘cc’ lines, for example. Because most manuscripts will be handled as electronic
5. files, reviewers often will use the ‘Track Changes’ feature of MS Word to “mark” the
6. manuscript. Reviewer instructions request that reviewers ensure that this feature is configured so
7. as not to reveal their identities; any revisions that do show identity should not be returned to the
8. author(s).

# Copyright and Permissions

1. The Contributor and the company/institution agree that any and all copies of the Contribution or
2. any part thereof distributed or posted by them in print or electronic format as permitted herein
3. will include the notice of copyright as stipulated in the Journal and a full citation to the Journal
4. as published by Owner or by a third-party publisher contracted by Owner.
5. The use of each article published in the Journal under this Agreement will be governed by the
6. Creative Commons Open Access license set by the Journal Owner. The Journal Owner warrants
7. that the authors of all articles published in the Journal under this Agreement will retain
8. copyright.

# Instructions for Taxonomic Descriptions

1. **General Principles**
2. International code: Manuscripts proposing new species must conform to requirements of the
3. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (<http://www.nhm.ac.uk/hosted-sites/iczn/code>/).
4. Taxonomic authority: With the first usage of the name of each taxonomic category, cite the
5. taxonomic authority and year of authorship for that name. Examples are as follows: *Meloidogyne*
6. *incognita* Kofoid and White, 1919; *Globodera pallida* Stone, 1973. In the case of changed
7. combinations, the original author should also be given, e.g., *Pristionchus maupasi* (Potts, 1910)
8. Paramonov, 1952. References for authorities should be included in the Literature Cited. The first
9. usage of a newly proposed species name should be acknowledged as in the following example:
10. *Meloidogyne incognita* n. sp. Additional newly proposed taxonomic categories should be
11. similarly acknowledged as in the following example: Heteroderidae n. fam.
12. General organization for taxonomic descriptions: The overall organization of the article is
13. recommended as follows:

22

23 ABSTRACT

24

25 KEY WORDS

26

1. INTRODUCTION (omit heading for this section)
2.  Content: Provide the taxonomic context and literature background relevant to the new
3. species. It is advisable to include some statement justifying the decision to designate a
4. new species.
5.  Etymology: It is recommended that at the point of initial reference to a new species name
6. (often the Introduction), the etymology of the name be given. Typically this can be done
7. parenthetically or as a footnote. Alternatively, the etymology can be given as a footnote
8. in the Description: because the etymology is most suitably included in this section,
9. avoiding use of the binomial until this part of the manuscript would facilitate proper
10. introduction of the new name.
11. MATERIALS AND METHODS
    1.  Conditions and practices for making measurements: To the extent required for
    2. repeatability, an explanation must be given of the conditions under which the
    3. measurements were taken (specimens live, heat relaxed, fixative). Where researchers
    4. might differ in their methods for taking a measurement (e.g., pharynx length or spicule
    5. length), it is helpful to specify the approach used in this particular publication.
    6. DESCRIPTION
    7.  Kinds of individuals represented: A holotype must be designated. Designation of an
    8. allotype (the opposite sex of the holotype) is no longer regulated by the ICZN and is
    9. therefore meaningless and should not be used, the specimen is just a paratype of the
    10. opposite sex of the holotype. After the single holotype has been labelled, any remaining
    11. specimens of the type series should be labelled as paratypes. With rare exceptions,
    12. descriptions must include females/hermaphrodites and, where available, males. Including
    13. juveniles, dauers, eggs, and additional stages is generally optional but may be required if
    14. they are pertinent to diagnostics (e.g., cysts, eggs or infective stages of some parasites).
    15.  What to present about each kind: For each kind of individual, morphometrics are to be
    16. followed by a narrative description. Morphometrics are best presented in a table. Where it
    17. is necessary to use abbreviations in the table, define these abbreviations − this could be
    18. done in footnotes. What specific morphometrics and details of the description must be
    19. included will vary with the taxon and what is pertinent to diagnostics within that group.
    20. Contrary to previous JON requirements, it is preferred that the narrative be in a concise
    21. but not telegraphic style. Appropriate supporting figures should be referenced within the
    22. narrative.
    23.  Numbers of specimens: With few exceptions (rare parasites or material from habitats of
    24. limited access), species descriptions should be based on a minimum of 10
    25. females/hermaphrodites. Where available, males and any additional kind of individuals
    26. represented (see above) should be described from at least 10 individuals.
    27.  Analysis of variance: The above should be presented with range, mean, and a statistical
    28. measurement of variability.
    29.  Subheadings to use under “Description”:
    30. o Females or hermaphrodites (required)
    31. o Males (required if present)
    32. o Juveniles, eggs, additional stages (generally optional)
    33. o Molecular data (optional) - if included, data should at least be comparable to those
    34. of other species in the taxonomic group, if such data are available, so that species
    35. diagnoses can be supported by genetic or phylogenetic information.
    36. o Type locality and habitat (required) - generally the type locality needs to be
    37. presented in such a way that the precise location can be identified and revisited
    38. for future study. In this regard GPS coordinates are preferable but optional. In the
    39. case of parasites, the host and host tissue must be identified if possible.
    40. o Type designation and deposition (required) – it is required that type specimens be
    41. deposited in one or more curated, broadly accessible taxonomic collections. The
    42. number of specimens deposited at each site should be indicated, and it is helpful
    43. to include the accession numbers for the material.
        1. o Biology and ecology (optional) – a description of distribution, ecological
        2. associations or behaviors such as dormancy stages, feeding, or mating is helpful
        3. and particularly so where these features are particular to certain species. In the
        4. case of parasites, it is desirable to include information on host-parasite
        5. relationships. Where possible, mating tests with putatively closely related species
        6. can also strengthen hypotheses of species identities, although such tests are
        7. optional and, by nature, subjective.
        8. o Differential diagnosis (required) – a description of how the new species is
        9. distinguished from other species must be presented. For conciseness, often the
        10. narrative can be supplemented by a table showing comparisons.
        11. DISCUSSION
        12.  Typically the discussion places the significance of the findings in a broader context.
        13. Generally it is appropriate to suggest hypotheses of relationships/phylogenetics.
        14. LITERATURE CITED

15

1. FIGURES
2.  Illustrations of morphology (required): drawings should convey all the diagnostic features
3. of the species in the context of the entire organism.
4.  Light micrographs (optional): light micrographs of key features are highly recommended
5. as a supplement to illustrations. Through-focus video light microscopy can also provide a
6. useful supplement to illustrations and can be referenced online.
7.  Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) and other imaging tools (optional): SEM and other
8. imaging tools that contribute to species descriptions are encouraged.

# Terminology

1. **Biological nomenclature:**
2. The genus and species of each organism must be identified by its Linnaean binomial, which
3. should be *italicized* and fully spelled out for each first use in text, figure captions and table titles.
4. As applicable, additional information may be included to identify authority, cultivar or varietal
5. names, breed, race, or accession number. If the word “cultivar” (or “cv.”) precedes the actual
6. cultivar name (e.g., soybean cv. Kirby, or the soybean cultivars were Forrest, Picket, and
7. Hartwig), the cultivar name is not bounded by quotation marks. Use single quotation marks if the
8. cultivar name immediately follows the botanical name (e.g., *Arachis hypogaea* ‘Florunner’).
9. Complete authorities and dates are required in taxonomic and systematic papers, but they would
10. be included at the editor’s discretion in other manuscripts. When new names of nematodes are
11. introduced, the recommendations of the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature* (2012)
12. should be strictly applied, especially regarding type designation and statements indicating where
13. such type material is deposited. It is strictly required that new Linnaean binomials be registered in ZooBank and that an LSID is provided with the submission ([http://zoobank.org](http://zoobank.org/)).
14. If common names are used for nematodes, they should conform to the names listed below for
15. common names for plant-parasitic nematodes. Common crop names should not end in the letter
16. “s” (e.g., “oat” not “oats”), although the plural form is appropriate for seed of each crop (e.g.,
17. soybeans, peanuts). If commonly accepted model organisms are mentioned (e.g., Arabidopsis, C.
18. elegans, Medicago, etc.), it is correct not to italicize these names, as they are not intended to be
19. Linnaean binomials. However, as with all organisms mentioned in JON, the Linnaean binomial
20. must be spelled out at the first use (e.g., *Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago truncatula*).

# Suggested Common Names for Nematodes

1. JON requires that the full Linnaean binomial be given for all nematode species mentioned. But,
2. as long as it is explained, the use of common names, or even an abbreviation, is also permitted.
3. For example: *Meloidogyne* spp. (root-knot nematode: RKN). However, authors should be
4. judicious in using common names and only do so when it improves clarity to the wider
5. readership. There are several points to consider in electing to use a common name:
6. 1. Is the common name likely to be recognized across or beyond the narrow discipline of
7. the paper? For example, home gardeners are likely to have heard of root-knot nematodes,
8. and soybean farmers will know about soybean cyst nematode. A similar case might be
9. made for dog heart worm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) or Guinea worm (*Dracunculus*
10. *medinensis*). But the same could probably not be said of *Romanomermis culicivorax* and
11. so, although likely known to many JON readers, assigning a common name to this
12. species would be discouraged.
13. 2. Does using the common name convey some information that might not be readily
14. apparent from the binomial? For example, calling *Globodera* spp. “potato cyst nematode”
15. might help the flow of the writing if one were talking about host preference.
16. 3. Is the common name ambiguous? Some names are applied to multiple genera (e.g.,
17. *Cactodera*, *Globodera* and *Heterodera* have all been called “cyst nematodes,” and
18. *Criconema* and *Criconemella* both have been called “ring nematode”). In some instances,
19. an author may intend the redundant term to be inclusive of the different species, but not
20. in other cases.
21. 4. What is the precedent in using the common name? Although some generic common
22. names have little meaning or have only restricted application to a genus, long-standing
23. use has legitimized them (e.g., stunt nematode for *Tylenchorhynchus* spp.)
24. The coining of common names is permissible. Priority in providing a common name for a new
25. species rests with the describer. Suggested sources for the common name include: geographic
26. location for a species thought to have a restricted range (e.g., Columbia root-knot nematode for
27. *M. chitwoodi)*; host plant (e.g., citrus nematode for *T. semipenetrans)*; morphological character
28. (e.g., awl nematode for *Dolichodorus* spp.); specific symptom; or translation of the specific
29. epithet. However, as many nematodes are polyphagous, geographically widespread, or have no
30. symptomatic effects on plants, a rigid system is impossible to institute.
31. Listed below are “officially” designated common names for plant parasitic nematodes:
32. SUGGESTED COMMON NAMES FOR PLANT-PARASITIC NEMATODES

5

6 Where two names are listed, the first name should be afforded priority.

7

1. *Anguina* spp.: seed and leaf gall nematodes, seed-gall nematodes
2. *A. agrostis* (Steinbuch) Filipjev: bentgrass nematode
3. *A. tritici* (Steinbuch) Filipjev: wheat cockle nematode, wheat gall nematode
4. *Aphelenchoides* spp.: bud and leaf nematodes, foliar nematodes
5. *A. arachidis* Bos: testa nematode
6. *A. besseyi* Christie: rice white tip nematode, strawberry bud nematode, summer crimp, summer
7. dwarf nematode
8. *A. fragariae* (Ritzema Bos) Christie: spring crimp nematode, spring dwarf nematode, strawberry
9. bud nematode
10. *A. ritzemabosi* (Schwartz) Steiner & Buhrer: chrysanthemum nematode

18

1. *Belonolaimus* spp.: sting nematodes
2. *B. longicaudatus* Rau: sting nematode
3. *Bursaphelenchus cocophilus* (Cobb) Goodey: coconut palm nematode, red-ring nematode
4. *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner & Buhrer) Nickle: pinewood nematode

23

1. *Cacopaurus pestis* Thorne: walnut nematode
2. *Cactodera* spp.: cyst nematodes
3. *C. cacti* (Fil. & Sch. Stek.) Krall & Krall: cactus cyst nematode
4. *C. weissi* (Steiner) Krall & Krall: knotweed cyst nematodes, smartweed cyst nematode
5. *Criconema* spp.: ring nematodes
6. *Criconemella* spp.: ring nematodes

32

1. *Ditylenchus* spp.: stem and bulb nematodes
2. *D. destructor* Thorne: potato knot nematode
3. *D. dipsaci* (Kuhn) Filipjev: stem and bulb nematode, alfalfa stem nematode
4. *D. africanus* Wendt, Swart, Vrain & Webster: peanut pod nematode
5. *Dolichodorus* spp.: awl nematodes
6. *D. heterocephalus* Cobb: awl nematode

39

1. *Globodera* spp.: round-cyst nematodes
2. *G. pallida* (Stone) Behrens: white potato cyst nematode
3. *G*. *rostochiensis* (Wollenweber) Behrens: golden nematode, golden potato cyst nematode
4. *G. tabacum* (Lownsbery & Lownsbery) Behrens: tobacco cyst nematode
5. *G. virginiae* (Miller & Gray) Behrens: horsenettle cyst nematode
6. *Gracilacus* spp.: pin nematodes

3

1. *Helicotylenchus* spp.: spiral nematodes
2. *H. dihystera* (Cobb) Sher: spiral nematode
3. *H. multicinctus* (Cobb) Golden: banana spiral nematode, Cobb???s spiral nematode
4. *Hemicriconemoides* spp.: false-sheath nematodes
5. *Hemicycliophora* spp.: sheath nematodes
6. *H. arenaria* Raski: sheath nematode
7. *Heterodera* spp.: cyst nematodes
8. *H. avenae* Wollenweber: cereal cyst nematode, oat cyst nematode
9. *H. betulae* Hirschmann & Riggs: birch cyst nematode
10. *H. carotae* Jones: carrot cyst nematode
11. *H. cruciferae* Jones: cabbage cyst nematode
12. *H. cyperi* Golden, Rau & Cobb: nutgrass cyst nematode
13. *H. fici* Kirjanova: fig cyst nematode
14. *H. glycines* Ichinohe: soybean cyst nematode
15. *H. goettingiana* Liebscher: pea cyst nematode
16. *H. humuli* Filipjev: hop cyst nematode
17. *H. lespedezae* Golden & Cobb: lespedeza cyst nematode
18. *H. schachtii* Schmidt: sugarbeet cyst nematode
19. *H. trifolii* Goffart: clover cyst nematode
20. *H. zeae* Koshy, Swarup & Sethi: corn cyst nematode
21. *Hirschmanniella oryzae* (van Breda de Haan) Luc & Goodey: rice root nematode
22. *Hoplolaimus* spp.: lance nematodes
23. *H. galeatus* (Cobb) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven: lance nematode
24. *H. columbus* Sher: Columbia lance nematode

28

29 *Longidorus* spp.: needle nematodes

30

1. *Meloidodera* spp.: cystoid nematodes
2. *M. charis* Hopper: mesquite cystoid nematode
3. *M. floridensis* Chitwood, Hannon & Esser: pine cyst nematode
4. *Meloidogyne* spp.: root-knot nematodes
5. *M. arenaria* (Neal) Chitwood: peanut root-knot nematodes
6. *M. camelliae* Golden: camellia root-knot nematode
7. *M. carolinesis* Eisenback: blueberry root-knot nematode
8. *M. chitwoodi* Golden, O’Bannon, Santo & Finley: Columbia root-knot nematode
9. *M. enterolobii* Uang & Eisenback: pacara earpod tree root-knot nematode
10. *M. exigua* Goeldi: coffee root-knot nematode
11. *M. graminis* (Sledge & Golden) Whitehead: grass root-knot nematode
12. *M. hispanica* Hirschmann: Seville root-knot nematode
13. *M. hapla* Chitwood: northern root-knot nematode
14. *M. incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood: southern root-knot nematode
15. *M. javanica* (Treub) Chitwood: Javanese root-knot nematode
16. *M. konaensis* Eisenback, Bernard & Schmitt: Kona coffee root-knot nematode
17. *M. lusitanica* Abrantes & Santos: olive root-knot nematode
18. *M. megatyla* Baldwin & Sasser: pine root-knot nematode
19. *M. naasi* Franklin: barely root-knot nematode
20. *M. nataliei* Golden, Ross & Bird: Michigan grape root-knot nematode
21. *M. paranaensis* Carneiro, Carneiro, Abrantes & Almeida: Paraná coffee root-knot nematode
22. *M. partityla* Kleynhams: pecan root-knot nematode
23. *M. pini* Eisenback, Yang & Hartman: sand pine root-knot nematode
24. *M. platani* Hirschmann: sycamore root-knot nematode
25. *M. querciana* Golden: oak root-knot nematode
26. *M. suginamensis* Toida & Yaegashi: Suginami root-knot nematode
27. *M. thamesi* Chitwood: Thames? root-knot nematode
28. *Merlinius* spp.: stunt nematodes
29. *Mesocriconema* ssp.:ring nematodes
30. *M. ornata* (Raski) Luc & Raski: peanut ring nematode
31. *M. xenoplax* (Raski) Luc & Raski: peach ring nematode
32. *Nacobbus* spp.: false root-knot nematodes
33. *N. aberrans* (Thorne) Thorne & Allen: false root-knot nematode

16

17 *Orrina phyllobia* (Thorne) Brzeski: nightshade gall nematode

18

1. *Paratrichodorus* spp.: stubby-root nematode
2. *P. minor* (Colbran) Siddiqi: stubby-root nematode
3. *Paralongidorus* spp.: needle nematodes
4. *Paratylenchus* spp.: pin nematodes
5. *Pratylenchoides* spp.: false-burrowing nematodes
6. *Pratylenchus* spp.: lesion nematodes
7. *P. alleni* Ferris: Allen’s lesion nematode
8. *P. brachyurus* (Godrey) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven: lesion nematode
9. *P. coffeae* (Zimmermann) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven: coffee lesion nematode
10. *P. penetrans* (Cobb) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven: lesion nematode, meadow nematode
11. *P. scribneri* Steiner: Scribner’s lesion nematode
12. *P. thornei* Sher & Allen: Thorne’s lesion nematode
13. *P. vulnus* Allen & Jensen: boxwood lesion nematode, walnut lesion nematode
14. *P. zeae* Graham: corn lesion nematode
15. *Punctodera punctata* (Thorne) Mulvey & Stone: grass cyst nematode

34

1. *Radopholus* spp.: burrowing nematodes
2. *R. similis* Cobb: banana burrowing nematode, burrowing nematode
3. *Rotylenchulus* spp.: reniform nematodes
4. *R. reniformis* Linford & Oliveira: reniform nematode
5. *R. parvus* (Williams) Sher: reniform nematode
6. *Rotylenchus* spp.: spiral nematodes
7. *R. buxophilus* Golden: boxwood spiral nematode

42

1. *Scutellonema* spp.: spiral nematodes
2. *S. bradys* (Steiner & Lehew) Andrassy: yam spiral nematode
3. *Subanguina radicicola* (Greeff) Parmonov: grass root-gall nematode

46

1. *Trichodorus* spp.: stubby-root nematodes
2. *Tylenchorhynchus* spp.: stunt nematodes
3. *T. claytoni* Steiner: tobacco stunt nematode
4. *Tylenchulus semipenetrans* Cobb: citrus nematode

5

1. *Xiphinema* spp.: dagger nematodes
2. *X. americanum* Cobb: American dagger nematode
3. *X. chambersi* Thorne: Chambers’s dagger nematode

9

# Genetic nomenclature:

1. Authors naming strains, genes, alleles, loci identified as DNA polymorphisms, and molecular
2. clones should follow the guidelines specified in vol. 26, pp.138-143 of JON.

# Nematological phrases and terminology:

1. A number of terms are used synonymously in the broader nematology literature, most notably
2. juvenile and larva, hypodermis and epidermis, and pharynx and esophagus; an etymological and
3. historical discussion of the use of these terms has been presented by Bird and Bird (1991). JON
4. authors are free to choose which of these terms is most appropriate in their manuscript, providing
5. they are consistent. The name of plant diseases caused by nematodes should not include the word
6. disease (e.g., “red ring of palm” is preferred to “red ring disease;” “pinewilt” is preferred to
7. “pinewilt disease”).

# Abbreviations

1. Abbreviations should be used to improve the flow and clarity of the manuscript and those listed
2. in the table below can be used in JON with no explana[tion. Units of measure](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/units_of_measure.html) should be used
3. without explanation, but ensure that the correct abbreviation is used. Common Latin
4. abbreviations (such as i.e., e.g., etc.) also may be used; NB, these are not italicized. The coining
5. of abbreviations by authors is permissible, but it is preferred that authors keep this to a minimum.
6. Coined abbreviations should be used more than twice in the manuscript. Spell out in full the
7. word to be abbreviated at the first use and place the abbreviation in parentheses immediately
8. afterwards. Use the abbreviation after that except at the beginning of a sentence, where the
9. complete phrase must be written out. Do not add an s to make abbreviations plural; abbreviations
10. are both singular and plural as written.

32

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| a.i. | active ingredient(s) |
| abstr. | abstract |
| bp | base pair(s) |
| cDNA | complimentary DNA |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CFU | colony forming unit(s) |
| cv. | cultivar |
| d | day(s) |
| diam. | diameter |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| ed. | edition |
| EDTA | ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| Eq. | equation |
| EST | expressed sequence tag(s) |
| Fig. | figure |
| *g* | gravity |
| ha | hectare(s) |
| hr | hour(s) |
| i.d. | inside diameter |
| IJ | infective juvenile(s) |
| J | juvenile(s) |
| J1, J2 etc | first stage juvenile(s), second stage juvenile(s), etc. |
| kb | kilobase pair(s) |
| L | Larva(e) |
| L1, L2, etc. | first stage larva(e), second stage larva(e), etc. |
| min | minute(s) |
| mon | month(s) |
| nt | nucleotide(s) |
| o.d. | outside diameter |
| PAGE | polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
| PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| Pf | final nematode population density |
| Pi | initial/preplant nematode population density |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Pm | midseason nematode population density |
| publ. | publication |
| Rf | reproduction factor |
| RFLP | restriction fragment length polymorphism |
| RNA | ribonucleic acid |
| rRNA | ribosomal RNA |
| RT-PCR | reverse transcription PCR |
| sec | second(s) |
| SSR | simple sequence repeat(s) |
| UV | ultraviolet |
| wk | week(s) |
| yr | year(s) |

# Units of measure

1. Use the metric system wi[th decimal fractions and multipliers](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/units_of_measure.html#decimal). [Basic SI units](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/units_of_measure.html#basic) (meter, kilogram,
2. etc) may be used, but use liter for volume and degrees Celsius (°C) for temperature. Specialized
3. units, such as S – Svedberg unit (for sedimentation coefficient) or E – Einstein (as a unit of light
4. irradiance) should be used where appropriate.
5. To express multiple units, different styles are permitted so as to maximize clarity in each
6. instance. To indicate division between two units, a solidus (“/”) should normally be used.
7. Examples include µg/ml and m/s2. Where multiple units are used, it will sometimes be
8. appropriate to use “bullets” to indicate multiplication in units of measure. For example, light
9. intensity could be expressed µE•s-1•m-2. In other cases, using the [common derived SI units](http://www.journal-of-nematology-style-guide.org/units_of_measure.html#derived) will
10. be clearer. For example, use joule (J) instead of kg•m2•s-2.
11. Write out units that are not preceded by a number (e.g., the number of cysts per cubic centimeter
12. of soil increased with time). There are no plural forms for unit abbreviations, e.g., ml (not mls).
13. Spell out numbers at beginning of sentence (e.g., four milliliters) and if a number is not
14. specified, use “several” milliliters (i.e., spelled out, not abbreviated). Twenty-five milliliters was
15. (not “were”) added to the solution (because all 25 ml are dumped in at once - considered as an
16. entire unit of measurement).
17. Include a space between the numeral and the unit of measurement (e.g., 1.2 g, 3 ml, 1.2 g/liter).
18. There are several exceptions (e.g., *g* , °C - degrees Celsius, 16S).

## Common unit abbreviations:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| bp | base pair | cm | centimeter |
| d | day | *g* | gravity (note *italic*) |
| ha | hectare | g | gram |
| hr | hour | kb | kilobase |
| kg | kilogram | km | kilometer |
| liter | always spell out in full | ml | milliliter |
| m | meter | min | minute |
| mon | month | sec | second |
| wk | week | yr | year |

1. log x, log (x + 1), log 10 x (note spacing). In text “were transformed by x1 = log10 (x + 1) before
2. analysis,” “....were transformed by arcsin (**√** x) before analysis” (not “were log-transformed
3. (log10 [x + 1]) or arcsin transformed”).
4. [ 3H]leucine (no hyphen or space), sodium [1-14C]acetate.
5. 1.3 x 10 -4 *(note spacing)*

## Decimal Fractions and Multiples:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FRACTION** | **PREFIX** | **SYMBOL** |
| 10-1 | deci | d |
| 10-2 | centi | c |
| 10-3 | milli | m |
| 10-6 | micro | µ |
| 10-9 | nano | n |
| 10-12 | pico | p |
| 10-15 | femto | f |
| 10-18 | atto | a |
| **MULTIPLE** | **PREFIX** | **SYMBOL** |
| 10 | deca | da |
| 102 | hecto | h |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 103 | kilo | k |
| 106 | mega | M |
| 109 | giga | G |
| 1012 | tera | T |

1

## 2 Basic SI units:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PHYSICAL QUANTITY** | **UNIT** | **SYMBOL** |
| Length | meter | m |
| Mass | kilogram | kg |
| Time | second | s |
| Electric current | ampere | A |
| Thermodynamic temperature | kelvin | K |
| Amount of substance | mole | mol |
| Luminous intensity | candela | cd |

3

## 4 Common derived units:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PHYSICAL QUANTITY** | **UNIT** | **SYMBOL** |
| Frequency | hertz | Hz |
| Energy | joule | J |
| Force | newton | N |
| Pressure | pascal | Pa |
| Power | watt | W |
| Electric charge | coulomb | C |
| Electric potential difference | volt | V |
| Electric resistance | ohm | O |
| Electric conductance | siemens | S |
| Electric capacitance | farad | F |
| Magnetic flux | weber | Wb |
| Inductance | henry | H |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Magnetic flux density (induction) | tesla | T |

1. **Technical Style Glossary**
2. **Apostrophes:** used to show possession, not to show plural form of abbreviations.
3. **Commas:** Serial commas should be used in lists, as in common American usage: “genetic,
4. morphometric, and behavioral...” instead of “genetic, morphometric and behavioral...”

5 **Dates:** 1992-95, June 1995, 15 June 1995.

1. **Degrees:** Ph.D., M.A., M.S., M.Sc.
2. **Enumeration:** Within text, use (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), etc., not 1), 2), 3), etc.
3. **Hyphens:** Used with compound adjective forms, including those with numbers:
4. 2.5-cm-diam. core
5. 5- to 10-cm deep
6. 2-wk-old seedlings
7. 60-kg weight
8. 9- x 16-cm plastic pots
9. 250-ml bottle
10. 5-cm-diam. pots
11. *(use lowercase letters after hyphens).*
12. Other hyphenated words: degree-day, light-year
13. **Latin phrases not italicized:** in vivo, in vitro, sensu, per se, in situ.
14. **Light intensity units:** µmol•s-1 •m-2 or µE•s-1 •m-2
15. **Magnification:** x200 (note spacing and that x precedes magnification value. Use the
16. multiplication symbol or a sans serif (e.g., Helvetica letter x).
17. **Miscellaneous:** “As described previously” implies that the said information was described in a
18. previous paper and must be accompanied by a literature citation.
19. “Which” and “that” are often used incorrectly. *That* should be used as the relative pronoun
20. introducing a restrictive clause. *Which* should be used to introduce a nonrestrictive clause,
21. usually preceded by a comma (e.g., this is the nematode that I found yesterday. This nematode,
22. which I found yesterday, has not been identified.)
23. “Compared to” is used to show similarity (e.g., “You can compare my copy to the original”).
24. “Compared with” is used to show difference and similarity (e.g., “His work cannot compare with
25. mine.”)
26. “Was” and “were” - a total was, data were, either was.
27. Tris buffer
28. Gram stain
29. petri dish (lower case “p”)
30. gram positive
31. pipet
32. Parafilm
33. Molecular weight and daltons: The molecular weight of protein A is 74,000 (not 74,000 Da) or
34. the molecular mass of protein A is 74,000 Da. The term “molecular weight” is considered a
35. synonym for “relative molecular mass,” which is unitless. In some instances, the term amu
36. (atomic mass unit) may be appropriate.
37. **Nematicides:** Use generic names when available; otherwise use capitalized trade names
38. followed by their ingredient. Do not use trademark symbols. Nematicide doses should be
39. reported as amount of active or technical material applied per unit area (for field use) or
40. concentration for in vitro studies. The chemical formulation should be given and method of
41. application clearly stated.
42. **Nested parentheses:** Use ([ ]), except for taxonomic authorities, use ( ( ) ).
43. **Numbers:** Spell out numbers lower than 10 except when used with units of measure; use
44. numerals for 10 and above (e.g., two plants, 10 plants, 4 ha, 10 ha, twofold, 10-fold). An
45. exception to this rule is a number at the beginning of a sentence, which is always spelled out. If
46. numbers are spelled out, the unit of measure should also be spelled out (“Fifteen percent” at
47. beginning of sentences).
48. Use % with numbers, “percentage” without numbers, for example: 13%, but use the word
49. “percentage” when there is no number, e.g., a smaller percentage; 32%, 43%, and 56%. Use
50. “between 3% and 5%,” “from 3% to 5%.” Note: A range of percentage is expressed with the
51. symbol [%] following each value to eliminate any ambiguity as to whether the first number
52. represents simply a number or a percentage; also note closed-up space between the number and
53. % symbol.
54. Fifteen percent of the samples were contaminated (note the plural verb “were”; the subject of the
55. sentence is not singular “percent” but the implied “fifteen samples of 100 samples”). But,
56. “Fifteen percent was contaminated.”
57. Use commas in numbers of four digits or more (1,000 and 1,000,000).
58. Do not use the symbol # as an abbreviation for number; abbreviate “no.” in tables or figures, or
59. in rare instances in text.
60. The words “number,” “total,” as well as actual numerical quantities and fractions take either
61. singular or plural verbs according to their meaning. For example, “The number of complaints has
62. been increasing,” “A number of changes have been made.”
63. When discussing quantities in technical writing, use “more than” rather than “over.” For
64. example, “A total of more than 16 species was isolated...”
65. When numbers are less than one, a zero should precede the decimal marker, e.g., 0.3, not .3.
66. When using ±, do not enclose in parentheses, e.g., 34.2 ± 0.3, not 34.2(± 0.3).
67. **Operator signs and spacing:** = word, = 2, < 12, + 1 SE, ± 400.
68. Solidus (slash) – The main use of the solidus “/” is as a symbol for the mathematical operation of
69. division. Do not use as a substitute for the comma, hyphen, or full expression. Use “per” without
70. numbers-numerals (e.g., “a few eggs per gram”) and “/” with numerals (e.g., 0.18 kg/ha).
71. **Proprietary materials and apparatuses:** Follow the proprietary name with the manufacturer’s
72. name and address in parentheses (city and state or city and country outside the United States),
73. e.g., QIAquick (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) PCR Purification kit.
74. **Quotation marks:** Commas or periods go inside quotation marks, except for the names of
75. cultivars, in which case any comma or period would always be outside single quotations, e.g.,
76. *Lycospersicon esculentum* ‘Rutgers’.
77. **Ranges:** Use the connecting word “to” rather than a hyphen, e.g., 21°C to 28°C. If the range is
78. given in parenthesis or in a table, use a short dash.
79. **Restriction endonucleases:** Eco RI, Bam I, Hind III, Sau 3A (note spacing and lack of
80. italicization).
81. **Single words:** cheesecloth, germplasm, preemergence, postemergence, preincubated, pretreated,
82. nonspecific, nonparasitic. Use “nontreated,” “noninoculated,” and “noninfected” (note the prefix
83. non- is not hyphenated when combined with most words).
84. **Soil identification and types:** All soils should be identified according to the U.S. soil taxonomic
85. system the first time each soil is mentioned. Give the series name in addition to the family name.
86. See: *National Soil Taxonomy Handbook* (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1982-1986) and in
87. *Keys to Soil Taxonomy* (Soil Management Support Services, 1985).
88. (x% sand, y% silt, z% clay; n% organic matter; pH a.b). Note semicolons. x + y + z must equal

32 100.

1. **Spelling:** Spelling should conform to American usage, as preferred in *Webster’s Third New*
2. *International Dictionary* (Merriam-Webster). Use American English and spelling except for
3. titles in literature citations, where the originally published spelling should be followed.
4. **Statistics:** Do not cite a reference for commonly used experimental designs, such as completely
5. randomized, randomized block, and split-plot designs, or simple procedures such as *t* tests. For
6. little-used statistical methods, designs, or analyses, cite an appropriate and accessible reference.
7. If computer software programs are used, they should be treated as proprietary material or
8. apparatus. Give the manufacture or developer name with location within the text body (in
9. parentheses). This includes SAS software. Do not list SAS software in the Literature Cited
10. section.
11. The achieved significance level for statistical tests (e.g., *P* ≤ 0.05, *P* ≤ 0.001; or *P* > 0.05, *P* >
12. 1.10) should be given in parentheses after the comparison (generally end of clause or end of
13. sentence): (*P* ≤ 0.05) (*P* > 0.05) (*note spacing*).
14. The asterisk symbols \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* are used to show significance at *P* ≤ 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001
15. probability levels, respectively.
16. Student’s *t*-test, *U*-test, k-ratio, *F*-test.
17. Some abbreviations commonly used in statistics and denotation of those to be typeset in italics:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| X caron; symbol for arithmetic mean | Arithmetic mean |
| χ2 | Chi-square |
| *r* | Correlation coefficient |
| *R2* | Coefficient of multiple determination |
| *r2* | Coefficient of simple determination |
| CV | Coefficient of variation |
| df | Degrees of freedom |
| LSD | Least significant difference |
| *R* | Multiple correlation coefficient |
| NS | Not significant |
| α | Probability of type I error |
| β | Probability of type II error |
| *b* | Regression coefficient |
| *n* | Sample size |
| SE | Standard error of mean |
| SD | Standard deviation of sample |
| *t* | Student’s *t* |
| *s2* | Sample variance |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *F* | Variance ratio |
| *P* | Probability |

# Sources

1. Allen, A. 1977. Steps toward better scientific illustrations. Lawrence, KS: Allen Press.
2. ASA, CSA, and SSA. 1988. Publications handbook and style manual. Madison, WI:
3. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of
4. America.
5. American Society for Microbiology. 1991. ASM manual for journals and books.
6. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology.
7. Bernard, E. C. 1994. Nematology Newsletter. 33:21-23.
8. Bird, A.F., and Bird, J. 1991. The Structure of Nematodes. 2ed. San Diego: Academic Press.
9. Buhrer, E. M. 1954. Common names of some important plant pathogenic nematodes. Plant
10. Disease Reporter 38:535-541.
11. [Bureau International des Poids et Mesures.](http://www.bipm.org/en/home/) Accessed January 31, 2006.
12. CBE Scientific Illustration Committee. 1988. Illustrating science: Standards for publication.
13. Bethesda, MD: Council of Biology Editors.
14. [The Chicago Manual of Style.](http://www.press.uchicago.edu/Misc/Chicago/cmosfaq/) Accessed January 31, 2006.
15. Council of Biological Editors. 1994. Scientific style and format: The CBE manual for
16. authors, editors, and publishers, 6th ed. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
17. Dodd, J. S., ed. 1986. The ACS style guide. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society.
18. Golden, A. M., Noffsinger, E. M., Baldwin, J. G. Fortuner, R., Geraert, E., Luc, M., and
19. Robbins, R. T. 1989. Suggested format for taxonomic papers published in the Journal of
20. Nematology. Journal of Nematology 21:444-445.
21. Kennedy, V. S., and Kennedy, D. C. 1990. Graphic and tabular display of fishery data. Pp.
22. 33-64 *in* J. Hunter, ed. Writing for fishery journals. Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society.
23. Maggenti, A. 1981. General nematology. New York: Springer-Verlag.
24. Seddigh, M., and Jolliff, G. D. 1988. Recommendations on preparation of line graphs for
25. presentation of scientific data. Journal of Agronomic Education 17:3-6. 1991-92 additions.
    1. Soil Management Support Services. 1985. Keys to soil taxonomy. Technical monograph 6,
    2. 2nd printing. Ithaca, NY: Department of Agronomy, Cornell University.
    3. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1982-1986. National soil taxonomy handbook, Issues 1-9.
    4. Washington, DC: USDA-SCS.

# Guidelines for Nematode Genome and Transcriptome Announcement Articles in the

## Journal of Nematology

**Scope**:

*Journal of Nematology* Nematode Genome Announcement (NGA) and Nematode Transcriptome Announcement (NTA) articles report on the complete or draft genome or transcriptome resources for nematodes. Submissions are encouraged from all nematode groups including free-living, entomopathogenic, plant-parasitic, animal-parasitic, and marine species. The primary purpose of these brief peer-reviewed articles is to quickly and simply announce the availability of such ‘omic resources, and their submissions to public databases, to the scientific community. Announcements focusing on the genomes of mitochondria or symbionts associated with nematodes are also welcome.

# Editorial Procedures:

A *Journal of Nematology* Associate Editor (AE), specifically designated to handle this article type, will manage NGA and NTA submissions. This AE will perform initial editorial evaluation of submitted announcements to ensure that they conform to basic formatting requirements. Announcement articles passing editorial review will move on to peer review. The AE will solicit two reviews per announcement, asking for rapid return of reviews (2 weeks) for these very short articles. Reviewers will evaluate NGA and NTA submissions based on technical validity, clarity of scientific writing, and verification of public sequence database submission. Announcement articles receiving positive evaluations and support from the AE will move on to publication. In the event that the AE responsible for handling these articles wants to submit NGA or NTA articles to the journal, a qualified guest AE will be invited to oversee the review process. The publication procedures will otherwise follow *Journal of Nematology* guidelines.

# Components:

Title: Titles are limited to 12 words or fewer, and should provide a simple description of the genome or transcriptome being announced.

*Example*: The Draft Genome Sequence of *Globodera ellingtonae*

Authors and Affiliations: Follow the basic JON guidelines.

Abstract: Limit the abstract to 50 words or fewer and concisely summarize the basic content of the article without presenting extensive experimental or analytical details. Avoid abbreviations and acronyms. Conclude with a summary statement. Key words will be required, following *Journal of Nematology* general guidelines.

*Example*:

*Globodera ellingtonae* is a newly described potato cyst nematode found in Idaho, Oregon, and Argentina. Here we present a draft nuclear genome assembly of *G. ellingtonae*, an evolutionary relative of the quarantine nematodes *G. pallida* and *G. rostochiensis*.

Announcement: The main body of the article is limited to 500 words. Announcements may not include figures, tables, or supplemental material used to present data or analysis. Short descriptions (1-3 sentences each) for the following information should be provided in this section:

* + - Relevance – why is this nematode species important?
    - Nematode collection source, conditions, and methods.
    - DNA/RNA extraction and sequencing methods.
    - Genome/transcriptome assembly methods (required).
    - Genome/transcriptome assembly quality evaluation methods (optional).
    - Gene annotation methods (optional).

Database Submission: Sequences and assemblies must be made publicly available before an announcement will be considered for publication. The accession numbers must be provided in this section, following the lead-in format “Nucleotide accession numbers associated with this announcement are…” In the case that the article announces multiple genomes or transcriptomes, a table can be used to share database submission information (“Nucleotide accession numbers associated with this announcement are presented in Table 1).

Acknowledgements: Follow the basic *Journal of Nematology* guidelines.

References and Literature Cited: For references with ten or more authors, ‘*et al.*’ should be used after then name of the first author. Otherwise, follow the basic *Journal of Nematology* guidelines.